

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,841	11/28/2000		Kenneth H. Grabstein	2811-H	6624
7	590	03/27/2002			
Immunex Cor	poration		EXAMINER		
Law Department 51 University Street				MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
Seattle, WA 98101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1646	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/724,841

Applicant(s)

Grabstein et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner Prema Mertz

Art Unit 1646

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T	
- Extens afte - If the	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a	g (opiy within the status,
be - If NO	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
cor - Failure	nmunication.	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims	the size the emplication
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-5 and 20-33	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims 1-5 and 20-33	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	to been received
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents nav	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*(3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	10 (1 C) 110 17 12 (0) 1.
14)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachi	ment(s)	
15)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🗌	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/724,841

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 1-5, 20-30, are drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding ETF, and a composition thereof, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- Group II. Claim 31, is drawn to a method of detecting ETF in a sample using the nucleic acid molecule encoding ETF, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- Group III. Claim 32, is drawn to a method of amplifying ETF in a sample using the nucleic acid molecule encoding ETF, classified in Class 435, subclass 91.2.
- Group IV. Claim 33, is drawn to a method of inhibiting the expression of ETF in a sample using the nucleic acid molecule encoding ETF, classified in Class 435, subclass 91.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-IV are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention I can also be used in hte recombinant production of the protein of interest.

Application/Control Number: 09/724,841

Art Unit: 1646

Inventions II-IV are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Having shown that these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has prima facie shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (703) 308-4229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4227. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Application/Control Number: 09/724,841 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Prema Mertz Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646 February 27, 2002